COUNTERACTION OF BELIEVING JEWS TO THE SOVIET STATE POLICY OF
RESTRICTING THE USE OF HEBREW LANGUAGE IN RELIGIOUS WORSHIP AND
EDUCATION (1919-20)

The paper focuses on counteraction of believing Jews to the soviet state policy of restricting the use
of Hebrew language in religious worship. Hebrew and Yiddish were the natural languages of Ukrainian
Jews over centuries. Bolshevik regime intended to remove the religious component of social life, and the
Hebrew language as the language of Jewish religious books and worship.

The authors analyse the causes and sources of the conflict between the state authorities on the one
hand, and the believers and the ministers of religion on the other hand; identify initiators of the restrictions,
and those who put them into practice; study the laws and legislations. There was no law prohibiting the
Hebrew language, but the Commissariat of Jews had proclaimed it to be a reactionary language. It meant
that the Hebrew language could be taught as a foreign language only. Jewish history had not to be taught
any longer at Jewish schools, and the course of Jewish literature included only the authors who wrote in
Yiddish. Religious educational institutions were the subject to liquidation.

The major focus of the study is on the reaction of students of the religious schools and their parents
to the restriction of teaching in Hebrew and the ways to continue the studies in the 'religious' language. The
ministers of religion (rabbis, melammeds), and students of Jewish religious schools wrote petitions to the
state authorities and organised strikes. Despite most cheders and yeshivas had been closed in 1920s, some
of them continued working illegally yet in the early 1930s. A few teachers and students of certain yeshivas
managed to immigrate to Poland.

The books in Hebrew language were confiscated from libraries and burned. Writers, poets, and
playwrights were unable to publish in Hebrew. Many of them emigrated.

The actions of believers and ministers of religion had mostly insignificant impact. Only after the
New Economic Policy (NEP) had been introduced, they managed to obtain the permission to publish legally
in Hebrew again.
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In history of every country there are periods of radical changes, when authorities, adherent to
certain ideologies, are making efforts to bring radical changes to the foundations of traditional life.
People, on the one hand, are usually inert, and unwilling to bring any changes into their lifestyle,
especially in area of their spiritual life. On the other hand, however, they are tending to expect that any
action, undertaken by those in power, should bring some positive changes. Therefore, any effort to force
a rejection of traditional values always provokes active protests. The actions, undertaken by Ukrainian
Jews in response to the restrictions of use and schooling in the Hebrew language, introduced by Soviet
power, were of no exception.

There are a significant number of studies, focusing on the state policy in the first years of soviet
regime with respect to Judaism. There are, for instance, works of Oleh Kozerod [1], Semyon Charnyi
[2], Gershon Svet [3], Joshua Rothenberg [4]. The activity of Evsektsii KP(b)U – Jewish Section of the
Communist Party of Bolsheviks in Ukraine in uprooting of Judaism studied by Mordechai Altschuller
and Zvi Y. Gitelman [5]. Elina Shkolnikova made an effort to trace the changes in lifestyle of little
towns inhabited by Jews [6]. Anna Shternshis made a research on how people accepted and responded
to actions undertaken by the authorities. Her paper Soviet and Kosher: Jewish Popular Culture in the
Soviet Union, 1923–1939 [7] is based mostly on interviews with people, who lived in the Soviet state
during the period between the WW1 and WW2. The reaction of religious Jews to the restrictions of use
and study of Hebrew during the early soviet period, however, has never been in the focus of any
particular study.
The paper focuses on actions, undertaken by religious Jews in order to protect their sacred language and the methods enabling to detour the prohibition. The main objective of the paper is to specify the sources and causes of the conflict; to identify the initiators of the restrictions and those, who put them into practice; to investigate the legislation; to study the attitude of religious school pupils and their parents towards the prohibition to teach in the Hebrew language, and ways how to continue teaching in the language of their religion; to analyse the destiny of writers who wrote in Hebrew in Ukraine.

Over a few centuries the natural languages of Jewish people in Ukraine were Hebrew and Yiddish. Having come into power Bolsheviks began “bringing into masses” the ideology of communism, which presupposed the complete uprooting of existing structure of social consciousness, including its religious component. God’s commandments should have been removed in order to give the place to the communist theory. The attack on religion was the full-scale offensive. Every kind of religion was subject to extermination. Judaism was of no exception.

Being the language of ancient sacral texts, Hebrew became subject to the policy of uprooting, which had been introduces on a regular basis within the context of anti-Judaic policy in the early years of soviet regime. The supposed alternative to the religious culture should become a new culture of Yiddish as the only Jewish secular language.

The conflict between the adherents of Hebrew, on the one hand, and Yiddish, on the other hand, was not new, it took place yet in the Russian Empire. It is often called a “Kulturkampf” [8]: a struggle of cultures. The ideologists of confrontation were Zionists. Basing on the idea that Hebrew had been the initial language of Jewish people, and it was simultaneously used by different communities of Jewish Diaspora, Zionists believed that the use of Hebrew would become one of the most powerful means for renewing the Jewish spirit as soon as the time of exile would be over and the People would eventually reunite in the Promised Land [9]. Zionists considered Yiddish as a language of exile, the jargon of Galut (גאַלט). The twentieth century’s contribution to the struggle was that the confrontation shifted from the cultural plane to political one. Bolshevik-Jews considered the struggle with Hebrew as an opposition to the Zionist ideology.

The campaign against Hebrew in the Ukraine was initiated by Hebrew section of Communist Party of Bolsheviks of Ukraine. Jewish communists stated that Yiddish is the language of proletarian masses, as Hebrew is used by clericals, Zionists, and nationalistic bourgeoisie. However, the workers of Jewish section appreciated Yiddish merely as a channel for spreading of Bolshevik ideology among Jewish people, being absolutely indifferent to it as a characteristic of unique national identity. Therefore they counted that Yiddish must necessarily exist only until the time when Jews would have fully mastered Russian [8]. Ukrainian and Russian communists did not interfere in the struggle, though the cause of such hatred towards Hebrew from the side of Jewish section had not been always sufficiently clear for them.

Soviet authorities officially had never prohibited Hebrew as a language on the level of legislation. Although, at the insistence of Jewish Section, the Commissariat of Jews declared that Hebrew is a language of reaction. On 2 July 1919 People’s Commissariat of Education issued a resolution which contained a number of statements that, first, Hebrew had never been a spoken language of Jewish people, therefore it should not be recognised as a language of ethnic minority, and consequently should be learnt as a foreign language; second, the teaching in Hebrew in the primary schools should be stopped immediately, and up to the new school year the school councils should decide which spoken language, recognised by the state, they wish to teach; pupils of the secondary school, who had already commenced schooling in Hebrew, should be permitted to continue and finish their education [10, арк. 31]. Books, written in Hebrew should be confiscated from libraries and burnt out. The biggest bonfires of such books blazed in Kharkiv and Kyiv [11].

Closings of schools which taught in Hebrew began in the Ukraine in 1919. However those actions of local authorities were not on a mass scale. Moreover, on 2 July 1919, Bureau of Jewish Section made a decision: “All actions of requisition, confiscation, forcible school closings, etc.,
whosoever had undertaken them with respect to schools on the language of *Ivris* should be withdrawn”. The schools that had been closed before were reopened. In October 1920, People’s Commissariat of Education issued a resolution on the use of Yiddish as a language for teaching in Jewish schools [12, c. 28]. It had stated that the mother tongue of Jewish population had been Yiddish not Hebrew, and the teaching in the latter was prohibited. Hebrew had to be excluded from the list of compulsory disciplines in the mixed labour schools, as Yiddish was proclaimed to be the only teaching language in the Jewish schools of all types within the Republic.

The Jewish community did not agree with the prohibition of Hebrew as a school language at once. In order to express their protests, pupils and their parents wrote repeatedly petitions to the state authorities [13, apK. 160], organised strikes. Thus, the strike committee of Jewish pupils of the city of Odessa in 1920, explained that due to the prohibition of Hebrew the children are deprived of opportunity to participate in Jewish national culture, called a strike from 14 to 16 April and encouraged all Jewish pupils not to go to school on those dates [14, c. 67–68]. One of the ways to keep Hebrew on was spreading of leaflets, during a census of population, with the call to state Hebrew as their mother tongue. But those actions had not succeeded, and the school teaching was carried on in Yiddish.

The work for uprooting Hebrew was carried along with the liquidation of religious education. Soviet power permitted private persons to open theological courses to teach religious subjects in Hebrew only for adults; under the condition that not a single general subject would be taught in Hebrew [15, apK. 123; 16, apK. 206]. But such courses were not popular among Jews.

The mass cheder, yeshiva, and Talmud-Tor closing campaign started in Ukrainian SSR in 1922 [17, apK. 2; 18, apK. 33–34, 47–50; 19, apK. 15a]. In spite of many Jewish educational institutions had been liquidated, some of them continued their activity as a clandestine practice up to the middle 1930s. Quite often only the immigration to another country allowed continuing teaching in yeshiva. Thus, for instance, the yeshiva chiefs of Zhytomyr, Kyiv, and Berdychiv along with their students moved illegally to neighbouring Poland [20].

However the teaching of Hebrew as a separate subject in schools was allowed. Thus, in July 1922, the head of Liquidation department of People’s Commissariat of Justice Ivan Sukhopluev instructed Donetsk liquidation commission that cheders should be closed all around the province of Donetsk, but Hebrew could be allowed to be introduced and taught as a separate subject in national Jewish schools, organised by the Section of People’s Education in cases there is no opportunity to limit with organising separate classes of Hebrew for Jews in Labour School that is common to all citizens [16, apK. 206].

Soviet Jewish school could be counted national only due to the fact that the teaching was held in Yiddish. Hebrew was taught as a separate subject, as well as the course of national literature, in which pupils read the works of Sholem Aleichem (Hebrew and Yiddish: שֶׁלומָה איַלַיְצֶה in Soviet Yiddish), Mendele Mocher Sforim (Hebrew: מנדִלִ'ה מַכוּר סְפַרִים, Yiddish: ספּריר מיך מָכָּר מְסֻדָּא), and soviet writers. The history of Jews had been removed from the school curriculum, only in the general course of history, pupils had an opportunity to learn something about the class struggle of the Jewish poor against czarism [6].

Teaching of Hebrew remained in the higher school. A course of Hebrew was delivered – under the strictest supervision – for future archaeologists, linguists, and those who specialised on the Ancient East.

There were many writers, poets, and playwrights in the Soviet Union, who had been writing in Hebrew, but no one of them had been allowed to publish their works. Only a few of them were allowed to emigrate. Thus, renowned Hebrew writers Hayim Nahman Bialik (Hebrew: בְּיַיְמִין נָחָן בּיַיְלִיק), Shaul Tchernichovsky (Hebrew: שלֻּליחינשֶוֹשְוֶסְוֶקָזְוְשָו), and Moshe Kleinman (Hebrew: מָשֵּׁה קלייןְמאַן) left the Soviet Union in 1921. Renowned Israel historian Ben Zion Dinur (Hebrew: בֵּן-זיְוָנט דוּר), who was born in Ukraine, three years before his immigration to mandated Palestine, had published in Kyiv in Hebrew a book *The History of Israel* [21]. This work became the first part that opened a long train of his lifelong researches: search and classification of sources and documents, basing on which he endeavoured to build an uninterrupted and organic history of Jewish nation [22, p. 87]. In 1930s, the
scholar held the position of lecturer at Jerusalem University. The sequel of *The History of Israel* titled *The Israel in Exile* was published in 1926 in Hebrew in Tel-Aviv [23]. Baruch Spielberg, who remained to live in Berdychiv, was very much upset because he was the only man in the town who wrote in Hebrew, and there was no *learned person*, whom he could talk to in order to discuss all his creative ideas [9, c. 112]. There were those, who continued writing prose and poetry in Hebrew, though, even without getting it published, it was dangerous.

Hebrew was being uprooted everywhere. There were efforts of purifying Yiddish from Hebrew lexemes, which made up approximately 20 per cent of the entire language vocabulary. In order to do this there had been undertaken a radical reform of Yiddish orthography. Transcription of the words of Hebrew origin was changed with respect to Yiddish phonetics. Ending letters, which had been written in a different way than in the middle of words, now should be rejected. Soviet literary Yiddish varied significantly from the foreign version, and became unintelligible for the reader [8, c. 308–309; 9, c. 112].

The situation softened a bit as the New Economic Policy (NEP) had been introduced. Little private typographies published religious books and Jewish calendars in Hebrew. In Poltava, Berdychiv, and Zhytomyr printed *Siddurim* (Hebrew: שדוריים – prayer books), *Tehillim* (Hebrew: תהילים – Psalms), and *Aggadah* (Hebrew: עגדה) to the feast of *Pesah* (Hebrew: פסח – Passover). Rabbis Yehezkel Abramsky (Hebrew: יְחֶצֶל אָבְרָםָּסְקי) and Shlomo Yosef Zevin (Hebrew: שְלֹמֹה יוֹסֵף זְבִיאִן) published *Tevunah* (Hebrew: תֶּבֻנָּה – Comprehension or the Mind of Torah) – the commentaries to Maimonides’s book by Rabbi Yitzchok Isaac ben Dov Ber Krasilschikov [24, c.191–192]. During 1927-28, in the Soviet Union, synagogues and orthodox families received no less than 100 000 religious books in Hebrew. In the late 1920s the printing was stopped, and in the early 1930s not a single book appeared in Ukraine in Hebrew.

Reading prayers in Hebrew was strictly forbidden in functioning houses of prayer and synagogues. Studying the interrelations between the state and Jewish communities Gershon Svet compares the Soviet linguistic policy with respect to Jews and to believers of other religions, finally drawing a conclusion of linguistic discrimination of the Jews [3, c. 221].

By this time there was a mass breaking of young people with traditional Jewish lifestyle and Hebrew as one of its components. It was not caused by the efforts of Jewish sections, but by the change of environment and the mode of young people’s life. Having moved from little local towns to big cities, the new generation of Jews got into non-Jewish environment, where keeping traditions and Jewish religious ceremonies in general as much as the use of Hebrew as a religious language in particular was complicated, unnecessary, and unpopular at once [25].

Thus we may conclude that the actions of Bolshevik power on uprooting Hebrew provoked counteractions of people. Ukrainian Jews petitioned to local and higher authorities, asking to be allowed to retain Hebrew as the language of education and prayers. Cheders that kept teaching in Hebrew went underground and continued their clandestine activity during the 1920s, the heads of yeshivas, along with their students, had to emigrate. Writers who wrote in Hebrew did not have any opportunity to get published and spread their works in the soviet Ukraine. They had to leave the country, and those who remained had to cease their activity.

In the 1920s, Ukrainian Jews undertook active efforts to defend their language, but in this struggle the Bolsheviks proved to be stronger.

The future researches on reaction of Jewish believers to restrictions for the use of Hebrew, as well as other measures for liquidation of Judaism and religious worldview, presuppose references to files, preserved in the State Sectoral Archive of the Security Service of Ukraine, the State Archive of Russian Federation, Russian State Archive of Socio-Political History, the oral evidences of immediate eyewitnesses, as much of Jewish origin as ones of non-Jewish origin, who were the neighbours of the former. Examining the treated question, it is important to identify previously unpublished facts of counteractions to soviet atheistic policy, the analysis of protest dynamics in the 1920-30s, and the punishment of protesters.
Випуск 7
Основна увага в роботі була приділена дослідженню ставлення учнів релігійних шкіл та їх батьків до заборони викладання івритом і способів продовження навчання релігійною мовою. Служителі культу, віруючі та учні єврейських шкіл писали скарги до органів влади і організували страйки. Не дивлячись на те, що хедери та єшиви були заборонені на законодавчому рівні на початку 1920-х, деякі з них продовжували нелегально працювати до першої половини 1930-х років. Викладачі та учні декількох сіні емігрували до Польщі. Написані давньоєврейською мовою книги вилучали з бібліотек і спалювали. Письменники, поети і драматурги не мали можливості публікуватися івритом. Багато з них емігрували.

Протидія віруючих і служителів культу мала незначний результат. Лише в період нової економічної політики вони змогли добитися дозволу видавати книги давньоєврейською мовою.

Ключові слова: юдаїзм, іврит, їдиш, комунізм, радянська влада, хедер, єшива.

Предметом розгляду в даній роботі є діяльність веруючих євреїв, що спрямована на захист свого релігійного язика і способи обходу запрету його використання.

Проналаштували причини і істочники конфлікту влади з веруючими та служителів культу: опинені ініціатори обмежень та те, кого вони затискали; визначена законодавча основа.

Основне увагу приділено існуванню релігійних школ та їх роботі у період заборони викладання івритом.

Ключові слова: юдаїзм, іврит, їдиш, комунізм, радянська влада, хедер, єшива.
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